

ON SOME CHARACTERIZATION OF SUPERPARACOMPACTNESS, STRONG PARACOMPACTNESS AND COMPLETE PARACOMPACTNESS

I.N. Tillabaev

Namangan State University, Namangan, Uzbekistan

In this paper, we study uniform analogs of complete paracompactness [1] strong paracompactness [2], and superparacompactness [3].

Definition 1 (D.K.Musaev). (i) A star finite (finite-component) U -locally finite [4] cover \mathcal{U} of a uniform space (X,U) is said to be uniformly star-finite (respectively, uniformly finite-component); (ii)

A \mathcal{U} -star-finite [3] (in particular, \mathcal{U} -finite -component [3]) U -locally finite cover \mathcal{U} of a uniform space (X,U) is said to be uniformly \mathcal{U} -star-finite (respectively, uniformly \mathcal{U} -finite-component); (iii)

A cover of a uniform space (X,U) which can be represented as a countable family of uniformly star-finite (uniformly finite-component) covers is said to be \mathcal{U} -uniformly star-finite (respectively, \mathcal{U} -uniformly finite-component).

Proposition 1. If a uniform space (X,U) is R -superparacompact (R -strong paracompact, R -completely paracompact). Moreover, if X is a superparacompact Hausdorff space (strongly paracompact Hausdorff space) and $U_{\mathcal{U}}$ is its universal uniformity, then the uniform space $(X,U_{\mathcal{U}})$ is R -super paracompact (respectively, R -strongly paracompact).

Proposition 2. For a uniform space (X,U) , the following conditions (a1), (b1), (c1), and (d1) are equivalent to conditions (a2), (b2), (c2), and (d2), respectively: (a1) (X,U) is R -paracompact; (a2) any finitely additive [4] open cover of (X,U) has a U -locally finite open refinement; (b1) (X,U) is R -completely paracompact; (b2) any finitely additive open cover of (X,U) has a uniform \mathcal{U} -star-finite open weak refinement; (c1) R -strongly paracompact; (c2) any finitely additive open cover of (X,U) has a uniform star-finite open refinement; (d1) (X,U) is R -superparacompact; (d2) any finitely additive open cover of (X,U) has a uniform finite-component open refinement.

Theorem 1. For a uniform space (X,U) , the following conditions (a1) and (b1) are equivalent to conditions (a2), and (b2), respectively: (a1) the space (X,U) is uniformly R -superparacompact; (a2) the space (X,U) is uniformly R -paracompact and $(X, U_{\mathcal{U}})$ is superparacompact; (b1) the space (X,U) is uniformly R -strongly paracompact; (b2) the space (X,U) is uniformly R -paracompact and $(X, U_{\mathcal{U}})$ is strongly paracompact.

Theorem 2. Let (X,U) be uniform space and let bX be a compact Hausdorff extension of X . Then the following conditions are equivalent: (a) (X,U) is R -superparacompact; (b) for any compact space $K \subseteq bX \setminus X$, there exists a U -locally finite disjoint open cover \mathcal{U} of (X,U) which punctures the compact set $K \subseteq bX$. Proposition 3. Any uniformly zero-dimensional R -paracompact space is R -super paracompact.

REFERENCES:

- [1] A.V.Zarelua, "On the Hurewicz theorem," Mat.Sb., 60(102), no.17-28(1963)
- [2] Yu.M.Smirnov, "On strongly paracompact space " Izv.Akad.Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. ,20,no 2.
- [3] D.K.Musaev and B.A.Pasynkov, On the Compactness- and Completeness-Type Properties of Topological Spaces and Continuous Mappings [in Russian]. FAN, Tashkent(1994).
- [4] A.A.Borubaev and A.A.Chekeev, Uniformly Spaces [in Russian]. Bishkek(2003).
- [5] V.A.Pasynkov and D.Buhagier, "On uniform paracompactness," Czech.Math.J.,46(121), 577-581(1996).
- [6] Тиллабаев И. ПОСТРОЕНИЕ КУБАТУРНЫХ ФОРМУЛ НА ПРЯМОУГОЛЬНИКЕ ПОВТОРНЫМ ИНТЕГРИРОВАНИЕМ //Innovations in Technology and Science Education. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 1. – С. 248-252.