"DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO SOLVING COMPARATIVE LINGUISTICS PROBLEMS"

Abduraxmanova Zilola Yoqubjon Qizi
Teacher of Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek
Mamarajabov Yokubjon Umedovich
Student of Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek
E-mail: mamarajabovyoqub@gmail.com

Annotation: *This article delves into the field of comparative linguistics; a branch of historical linguistics concerned with the comparative study of languages to determine their historical linkages and reconstruct ancient languages. It discusses various methodologies employed by linguists to solve comparative linguistic problems, including the Comparative Method, Lexicostatistics, Internal Reconstruction, and the application of Computational Methods. These techniques allow researchers to trace the evolution of languages, understand their familial relationships, and reconstruct proto-languages. The article also explores the Wave Model and Areal Linguistics, which consider the impact of geographical distribution and language contact on linguistic change. With a blend of traditional and modern approaches, the field of comparative linguistics provides insights into the past and present dynamics of human language.*

Keywords: *Comparative Linguistics, Historical Linguistics, Language Evolution, Linguistic Reconstruction, Proto-Languages, Lexicostatistics, Phonology, Grammar Comparison, Language Families.*

INTRODUCTION

Comparative linguistics stands as a cornerstone of historical linguistics, a discipline that uncovers the historical connections between languages and explores the nature of their evolution. It operates on the premise that languages, much like living organisms, evolve through time, branching out and diverging from common ancestors. The work of comparative linguists involves piecing together the clues left behind in the structure, vocabulary, and phonetics of modern and ancient languages to reconstruct their lineage and interactions.

The task is akin to detective work, where linguists act as historical sleuths, tracing the genealogy of languages back through time. This process not only reveals the shared heritage of language groups but also sheds light on the migratory patterns of ancient peoples and the cultural exchanges that have shaped civilizations. The methodologies employed in comparative linguistics are diverse, each tailored to address specific kinds of linguistic evidence, from the well-documented to the obscure.In grappling with the complexities of language comparison, researchers must navigate a maze of sound changes,

syntactic shifts, and semantic evolutions, all the while being mindful of the external influences that can alter a language's course. By synthesizing data from various languages, linguists strive to understand not only how languages have changed but also why they have changed, offering insights into the very nature of human language and thought. The introduction of computational tools has injected new vigor into the field, allowing for the analysis of vast linguistic datasets and the testing of hypotheses with unprecedented precision.

Through its multifaceted approaches, comparative linguistics endeavors to chart the grand map of linguistic evolution, providing a window into our collective human past and the intricate network of our communicative capacities.

Comparative linguistics is a branch of historical linguistics that focuses on comparing languages to find their historical linkages and develop hypotheses about their shared ancestries. Solving problems in this field involves multiple approaches, each with its unique methodologies and goals.

The classical method, known as the Comparative Method, involves a systematic comparison of phonology, grammar, and vocabulary across languages to identify regular correspondences and reconstruct proto-languages. This technique has been fundamental in establishing the existence of language families such as Indo-European and Uralic.

Another approach is Lexicostatistics, which quantifies lexical similarities to infer the degree of relatedness between languages. This method uses statistical data to estimate how long-ago languages diverged from their common ancestor, although it does not reconstruct linguistic history in detail.

On the other hand, Internal Reconstruction is used when only one language is available. It compares different stages of a language to itself, identifying changes over time to infer its earlier state.

Typological and Universalist approaches examine the structural aspects and features those languages share to draw conclusions about their historical relationships and the constraints on language evolution.

In contrast, the Wave Model acknowledges that languages do not always change uniformly. It suggests that changes spread like waves through a language area, resulting in varying degrees of impact on different dialects.

The Mass Comparison approach, although controversial, attempts to link languages across broad geographical areas without detailed analysis, primarily based on superficial resemblances in words and sounds.

The use of Computational Methods is also on the rise. These methods employ algorithms and large linguistic databases to find patterns and similarities that might be too complex for traditional manual analysis.

Lastly, Areal Linguistics focuses on geographical regions where languages have influenced each other through prolonged contact, leading to shared linguistic traits that are not necessarily due to common ancestry.

Each approach has its strengths and limitations and often, linguists must use a combination of these methods to tackle the complex problems of historical language relationships, linguistic ancestry, and language change. The field continues to evolve with advances in computational tools and the discovery of new linguistic data, promising more refined and comprehensive understanding of language evolution.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the field of comparative linguistics offers a rich tapestry of methodologies for solving its inherent challenges. From traditional historical reconstruction to modern computational methods, each approach provides unique insights into the evolution and relationships of languages. Historical comparative linguistics remains foundational, offering a time-tested approach for uncovering linguistic genealogies and phonetic changes. Contrastive analysis, on the other hand, provides a more focused lens on the differences and similarities between specific languages. The emergence of computational methods has revolutionized the field, enabling the analysis of large linguistic datasets with unprecedented precision and speed. Moreover, interdisciplinary approaches, integrating insights from anthropology, archaeology, and genetics, have expanded our understanding of language evolution in the context of human history. Ultimately, the diversity of methods in comparative linguistics not only underscores the complexity of language as a human phenomenon but also enriches our understanding of linguistic relationships and the dynamics of language change. As the field continues to evolve, these varied approaches will undoubtedly contribute to more nuanced and comprehensive understandings of the world's linguistic heritage

REFERENCES:

1. Kurolovich, Sindorov Lutfillo, and Mamaradjabov Yokubjon Umedovich. "PSYCHOLOGICAL TECHNIQUES FOR RAPID MEMORY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE." Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences 1.12 (2022): 170-176.

2. Shoira, Khaydarova, and Mamaradjabov Yokubjon Umidovich. "THE EVENT OF EUPHEMISM AND ITS FUNCTIONS IN SPEECH." Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences 1.12 (2022): 401-405.

3. Umedovich, Mamarajabov Yoqubjon. "NAVIGATING THE EVOLVING LANDSCAPE OF GLOBAL ENGLISH: EXPLORING ATTITUDES TOWARDS ENGLISH AND LANGUAGE REJECTION IN THE UNITED STATES." FORMATION OF PSYCHOLOGY AND PEDAGOGY AS INTERDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES 2.19 (2023): 64-67.

4. Umedovich, Mamarajabov Yoqubjon. "THE SIGNIFICANCE OF INTERCULTURAL COMPETENCE AND ITS USAGE IN EDUCATION." MODELS AND METHODS FOR INCREASING THE EFFICIENCY OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH 2.23 (2023): 241-247.

5. Zilola, Abduraxmanova, and Mamarajabov Yoqubjon. "THE FUTURE OF GLOBAL ENGLISH NEW ENGLISH THE LINGUISTIC CHARACTER OF NEW ENGLISH GRAMMAR." Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences 2.2 (2023): 209-213.

6. Kurolovich, Sindorov Lutfillo, and Mamarajabov Yokubjon Umedovich. "LEXICAL LAYERS OF THE UZBEK LANGUAGE." Научный Фокус 1.7 (2023): 13-16.

7. Khaydarova, Shoira, and Mamarajabov Yokubjon. "ENHANCING LEARNING: THE POWER OF VISUAL AIDS IN TEACHING IDIOMS." Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences 2.2 (2023): 288-292.

8. Umedovich, Mamarajabov Yoqubjon. "The Necessity and the Importance of Using Multimedia Technology as a Method in Teaching English Classes." Web of Scholars: Multidimensional Research Journal 1.8 (2022): 252-256.

9. Umedovich, Mamarajabov Yokubjon. "ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF MODERN DISTANCE LEARNING." Finland International Scientific Journal of Education, Social Science & Humanities 11.5 (2023): 1275-1281.

10. Zilola Abduraxmanova. (2023). KORPUS LINGVISTIKASI HAQIDA UMUMIY TUSHUNCHALAR VA UNING RIVOJLANISH BOSQICHLARI. International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research, 437–440. Retrieved from https://journal.jbnuu.uz/index.php/ijcstr/article/view/632

11. Zilola A., Oyshirin T. EMBRACING INTERCULTURAL COMPETENCE: UNLOCKING THE POWER OF GLOBAL CONNECTIVITY //Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 2. – С. 293-297.

12. Zilola A., Sohibxon S., Afruza A. THE CULTURAL LEGACY: ADVERTISING, BROADCASTING, AND CINEMA //Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 2. – С. 220-224.

13. Zilola A., Bahodir N., Munisa Y. APPROACHES AND ACTIVITIES THAT HELP DEVELOP INTERCULTURAL COMPETENCE //Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 2. – С. 204-208.

14. Zilola A., Makhliyo S., Aziza Q. FUTURE OF ENGLISH: GLOBAL FAMILY //Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 2. – С. 237-242.

15. Zilola A., Zarina A., Mashhura N. UNDERSTANDING MULTICULTURALISM IN SOCIOLOGY: EMBRACING DIVERSITY IN SOCIETY //Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 2. – С. 225-231.

16. Zilola A., Mushtaribegim U., Gulsanam N. DEVELOPING INTERCULTURAL THROUGH EDUCATION IN UZBEKISTAN //Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 2. – С. 232-236.

17. Abduganieva A., Hasanov F., Abduraxmanova Z. THE MAIN ROLE OF ENGLISH AS A GLOBAL LANGUAGE //Молодые ученые. – 2023. – Т. 1. – №. 3. – С. 64-66.

18. Qurbonboyev S., Mamatov S., Abduraxmanova Z. THE ROLE OF LISTENING IN INTERCULTURAL COMPETENCE //Science and innovation in the education system. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 6. – С. 55-58.

19. Egamberdiev J., Muradimova K., Abduraxmanova Z. THE FUTURE OF ENGLISH //Наука и инновация. – 2023. – Т. 1. – №. 6. – С. 124-127.

20. Zilola A., Barchinoy N., Muhammad F. THE ROLE OF MEDIA IN INFLUENCING CULTURE AND SOCIETY //Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 2. – С. 190-197.

21. Abduraxmanova Z., Ergasheva M., Xurramova S. APPROACHES AND ACTIVITIES THAT HELP TO DEVELOP INTERCULTURAL COMMUNICATION COMPETENCE //Theoretical aspects in the formation of pedagogical sciences. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 11. – С. 90-93.

22. Islamovna, Ibragimova Nigora. "ACTIVATION OF THE CONCEPT OF" HEART"(BY THE MATERIAL OF THE WORLD SCIENTISTS)." IJTIMOIY FANLARDA INNOVASIYA ONLAYN ILMIY JURNALI 3.6 (2023): 76-79.

23. Islamovna, Ibragimova Nigora. "SOME PROBLEMS OF SELECTING THE PHRASEOLOGICAL CONCEPT." Web of Semantic: Universal Journal on Innovative Education 2.6 (2023): 301-304.

24. Islamovna, Ibragimova Nigora. "CORRELATION OF CONCEPT, NOTION AND MEANING." INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE LEARNING AND APPLIED LINGUISTICS 2.6 (2023): 77-79.