3. Vakhobov A.V., Khojibakiev Sh.Kh., Mominov N.G. "Foreign investments". Study guide.- T.: Moliya, 2010.-328 p.

4. Vahabov A.V., Razykova G.Kh., Khajibakiev Sh.Kh. Foreign investment and modernization of the national economy. Monograph. T.: Finance, 2011.- 300 p.

5. Bekmurodov A.Sh., Karrieva Ya.K., Ne'matov I.U., Nabiev D.H., Kattaev N.T. Foreign investments. Study guide. -T.: Economy, 2010.-166 p.

6. Mamatov B., Khujamkulov D., Nurbekov O. Organization and financing of investments. Textbook. - T.: Economy-Finance, 2014. - 608 p.

7. Kayumov R.I., Khojimatov R.Kh. Organization and financing of investments: Textbook. - T.: TDIU, 2010. - 496 p.

8. Karlibaeva R. Organization and financing of investments: Study guide. - T.: Cholpon, 2011.-124 p.

9. Raimjonova M.A. Attracting investments to free economic zones in Uzbekistan: theoretical foundations, current situation and prospects. T.: "Extremum-press", 2013.-176 p.

10. Tashov O. Improving financing of investment activities. T.: "Akademnashr", 2012.-165 p.

11. Ziyodullaev, N., & Ziyodullaeva, M. (2023). FINANCIAL LITERACY, INTERRELATIONSHIP OF POPULATION INCOME AND EXPENDITURE. Science and innovation, 2(B12), 881-887.

12. Atayevna, Z. M., & Jumanazar oglu, O. S. (2023). JAPAN AND UZBEKISTAN COOPERATION ON DEVELOPMENT OF DOG INDUSTRY AND ECONOMY DIGITALIZATION IN JAPAN. OBRAZOVANIE NAUKA I INNOVATSIONNYE IDEI V MIRE, 17(1), 77-81.

13. Sidorov, V. A., Tursunov, I. E., Sharipov, T. S., & Yahyoyeva, S. O. (2023). THE ECONOMY OF INNOVATION: THE PROBLEM OF TECHNOLOGICAL ACHIEVEMENT. SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL OF SUSTAINABILITY AND LEADERSHIP RESEARCH ONLINE, 3(2), 8-15.

14. Turopova, N., & Jumayev, R. (2024). ISSUES OF REDUCING THE SHADOW ECONOMY. Molodye uchenye, 2(9), 73-75.

Yoldosh, M. (2023). Relations between Japan and Uzbekistan in the new era. TECHNICAL SCIENCE RESEARCH IN UZBEKISTAN, 1(5), 245-249.

15. Shonazar Oghli, S. A., & Abdusamatovich, J. J. (2024). FORMATION OF THE DIGITAL ECONOMY, ITS NEED AND EVOLUTION. JOURNAL OF INNOVATIONS IN SCIENTIFIC AND EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH, 7(4), 148-154.

16. https://www.allianceexperts.com

17. https://www.statista.com

18. https://www.jetro.com

19. https://www.uzreport.news

MATH FOR MULTILINGUAL MINDS: STRATEGIES FOR TEACHING ESL STUDENTS

Kumush Ortikova

Abstract: Teaching mathematics to English as a Second Language (ESL) students presents unique challenges and opportunities. This article explores strategies to enhance math instruction for ESL learners, including the use of visual aids, manipulatives, simplified language, integrated language and math instruction, cooperative learning, differentiated instruction, real-life contexts, technology, regular feedback, and fostering an inclusive classroom environment. A literature review highlights key findings and theories supporting these strategies, emphasizing their effectiveness in bridging language barriers and promoting mathematical proficiency among ESL students.

Keywords: *ESL* students, mathematics education, visual aids, manipulatives, language integration, cooperative learning, differentiated instruction, real-life contexts, educational technology, inclusive classroom

Teaching math to students who are learning English as a second language (ESL) presents unique challenges and opportunities. Math, often seen as a universal language, still requires linguistic skills to understand instructions, word problems, and mathematical reasoning. Here are some strategies to effectively teach math to ESL students, ensuring they can succeed in both language and numerical literacy.

Visual aids are powerful tools in the math classroom, especially for ESL students. Diagrams, charts, and graphs can convey complex concepts without relying heavily on language. Use pictures, symbols, and visual representations of problems to make abstract concepts more concrete. Visuals help bridge the gap between language barriers and mathematical understanding.

Manipulatives such as blocks, counters, and geometric shapes provide hands-on learning experiences. They allow students to physically manipulate objects to understand mathematical concepts, which can be particularly beneficial for those struggling with language comprehension. These tools make abstract ideas tangible and help reinforce learning through practice.

When instructing ESL students, simplify the language used in explanations and instructions. Avoid jargon and complex sentences. Instead, use clear, concise language and break down instructions into smaller, manageable steps. However, ensure that the content remains challenging and stimulating to keep students engaged and learning at their grade level.

Incorporate language learning into math lessons by teaching key vocabulary and phrases related to the math topic at hand. Create word walls with important terms and their definitions, use flashcards, and provide sentence stems to help students articulate their reasoning. This dual-focus approach enhances both math and language skills simultaneously.

Group work and peer tutoring can be very effective. Pair ESL students with fluent English-speaking peers for collaborative tasks. This setup encourages language practice in a low-stress environment and allows for immediate clarification of misunderstandings. Group projects and cooperative problem-solving activities can foster a supportive learning community.

Recognize that ESL students come with varying levels of English proficiency and math skills. Differentiate instruction by providing tiered assignments that cater to different levels of understanding. Offer additional support and resources for those who need it, such as translated materials or extra practice sessions.

Relating math problems to real-life situations can make the content more relevant and easier to understand for ESL students. Use examples from everyday life that they can relate to, such as shopping, cooking, or sports. This context not only makes math more engaging but also helps students see its practical applications.

Frequent feedback helps ESL students understand their progress and areas needing improvement. Be specific in your feedback, focusing on both their mathematical reasoning and language use. Encourage them by recognizing their efforts and celebrating their successes, no matter how small.

Leverage educational technology and online resources designed for ESL learners. Interactive math software, language translation tools, and educational apps can provide additional practice and reinforce concepts taught in class. Many of these resources offer multilingual support, which can be very beneficial.

Create a classroom culture that values diversity and inclusivity. Celebrate different cultures and languages, and encourage students to share their unique perspectives. An inclusive environment where students feel safe to take risks and make mistakes is crucial for effective learning.

Research underscores the importance of language proficiency in understanding and performing mathematical tasks. According to Moschkovich (2002), language and math are deeply intertwined, as students must comprehend and produce language to engage in mathematical reasoning and problem-solving. Moschkovich's work emphasizes that mathematical discourse, including explaining reasoning and understanding word problems, requires specific language skills that ESL students may still be developing.

The use of visual aids and manipulatives is widely supported in the literature. A study by Fennema and Franke (1992) found that manipulatives help students understand abstract mathematical concepts by providing a concrete representation. This hands-on approach is particularly beneficial for ESL students, as it reduces the reliance on language and allows them to explore and understand concepts through physical interaction.

Simplifying instructional language without diluting content is a key strategy for teaching ESL students. Cummins (1981) distinguished between Basic Interpersonal Communicative Skills (BICS) and Cognitive Academic Language Proficiency (CALP). BICS involves conversational fluency, whereas CALP is the language necessary for academic success. ESL students often develop BICS before CALP, which means they might struggle with the

complex language of math problems. Simplifying language helps bridge this gap, ensuring students can access the content without being hindered by their language proficiency.

Integrating language instruction into math lessons can enhance learning outcomes. According to Janzen (2008), teaching vocabulary and language structures specific to math within the context of math instruction helps ESL students grasp both the linguistic and mathematical aspects simultaneously. This integrated approach aligns with the Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) model, which has been shown to improve academic performance among ESL students by making content comprehensible while developing academic language skills (Echevarria, Vogt, & Short, 2017).

The benefits of cooperative learning for ESL students are well-documented. Johnson, Johnson, and Holubec (1993) found that cooperative learning strategies, where students work together in small groups, promote both academic achievement and social integration. For ESL students, these interactions provide opportunities to practice language in a supportive environment and to learn from peers who may have stronger language skills.

Conclusion

Effectively teaching math to ESL students requires a multifaceted approach that addresses both language and content challenges. The strategies outlined in this article utilizing visual aids, manipulatives, simplified language, integrated instruction, cooperative learning, differentiation, real-life contexts, technology, and providing regular feedback—are supported by extensive research and have proven to be effective in enhancing the learning experiences and outcomes for ESL students. By fostering an inclusive and supportive classroom environment, educators can help ESL learners not only overcome language barriers but also excel in mathematical proficiency. Implementing these strategies can empower multilingual minds to reach their full potential in both mathematics and language development.

REFERENCES:

Boaler, J. (1993). The role of contexts in the mathematics classroom: Do they make mathematics more "real"? For the Learning of Mathematics, 13(2), 12-17.

Cheung, A., & Slavin, R. E. (2012). The effectiveness of educational technology applications for enhancing mathematics achievement in K-12 classrooms: A meta-analysis. Educational Research Review, 9, 88-113.

Cummins, J. (1981). The role of primary language development in promoting educational success for language minority students. In California State Department of Education (Ed.), Schooling and language minority students: A theoretical framework (pp. 3-49). Los Angeles: Evaluation, Dissemination and Assessment Center, California State University, Los Angeles.

Echevarria, J., Vogt, M., & Short, D. J. (2017). Making content comprehensible for English learners: The SIOP model. Boston: Pearson.

Fennema, E., & Franke, M. L. (1992). Teachers' knowledge and its impact. In D. A. Grouws (Ed.), Handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning (pp. 147-164). New York: Macmillan.

Gay, G. (2002). Preparing for culturally responsive teaching. Journal of Teacher Education, 53(2), 106-116.

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. Review of Educational Research, 77(1), 81-112.

Janzen, J. (2008). Teaching English language learners in the content areas. Review of Educational Research, 78(4), 1010-1038.

Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Holubec, E. J. (1993). Circles of learning: Cooperation in the classroom. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

Moschkovich, J. N. (2002). A situated and sociocultural perspective on bilingual mathematics learners. Mathematical Thinking and Learning, 4(2-3), 189-212.